SMAQMD BACT CLEARINGHOUSE

CATEGORY TypePortable Aggregate Processing & Concrete/Asphalt Recycling

BACT Category: Small Emitter/Minor Source BACT

BACT Determination Number:	279	BACT Determination Date:	6/23/2021

Equipment Information

Permit Number: N/A -- Generic BACT Determination

Equipment Description: Feeder, Crusher, Screen, Transfer & Storage

Unit Size/Rating/Capacity: > 150 Tons/Hour

Equipment Location:

EXPIRED

BACT Determination Information

District	Contact:	
ROCs	Standard:	
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	
NOx	Standard:	
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	
SOx	Standard:	
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	
PM10	Standard:	
	Technology	See comments section.
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
PM2.5	Standard:	
	Technology	See comments section.
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice
СО	Standard:	
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	
LEAD	Standard:	
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	

Comments: Use of water sprays on crushers, screens, conveyors and transfer points as necessary to show compliance with the most stringent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations. The emissions from the entry feed hopper loading, stockpile loading and storage piles will be subject to an opacity limitation of 20%.

Printed: 6/23/2021



BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

	DETERMINATION NO.:	279
EXPIRED	DATE:	6/23/21
	ENGINEER:	Felix Trujillo, Jr.
Category/General Equip Description:	Portable Aggregate Processing	g & Concrete/Asphalt
Equipment Specific Description:	Feeder, Crusher, Screen, Trar	nsfer & Storage
Equipment Size/Rating:	Small Emitter BACT (< 10lb/da Capacity > 150 tons/hour)	ay)/Minor Source:
Previous BACT Det. No.:	171	

This BACT determination will update Determination #171 (1/11/18) for a portable concrete/asphalt recycling operation. In general, the process consist of material fed into a feed hopper and transferred via associated conveyors to a crusher and screen for separating into various sizes. The material is then conveyed to a storage pile via a stacking conveyor.

A. BACT ANALYSIS:

Pursuant to the District's BACT Guidelines (2016), a review of the EPA, CARB, SCAQMD, SJVAPCD, BAAQMD and SDAPCD BACT Clearinghouses was performed. The District also reviewed any applicable rules from the aforementioned air districts that apply to this type of operation. The review of these sources showed no change in the rules or BACTs that were previously evaluated for minor sources under BACT No. 171. Also, no new technologically feasible control technologies were identified. Therefore, there is no change in requirements as was previously determined under BACT No. 171. BACT No. 171 will be attached as a reference for this BACT determination (see Appendix A).

This BACT will clarify the standards for the entry feed hopper loading, stockpile loading and stockpile emissions, since these emission points are not subject to 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart OOO requirements. These emission points shall default to the SCAQMD Rule 1157 standards of 20% opacity as listed under the SCAQMD section of the BACT 171 analysis (see Appendix A). Aggregate processing will also be included on the description, since the same portable equipment (i.e. trackmounted crushers, screens or conveyors on wheels) is used and would be subject to the same requirements. Also, since Subpart OOO applies to portable operations

with a rating of greater than 150 tons/hour, this BACT shall be set to this rating. The applications that have been processed so far by the District, under BACT 171, have been greater than 150 tons/hour. The District does not aniticipate receiving any applications with a rating of 150 tons/hour or less. If such an application is recieved, the application will be processed under a separate BACT.

B. <u>SELECTION OF BACT</u>:

BACT for Portable Aggregate Processing & Concrete/Asphalt Recycling (> 150 Tons/hr Capacity) operations is the following:

BACT # 279 for Portable Aggregate Processing & Concrete/Asphalt Recycling > 150 Tons/hr Capacity		
Pollutant	Standard	Source
VOC	No Standard	
NOx	No Standard	
SOx	No Standard	
PM10	Use of water sprays on crushers, screens, conveyors and transfer points as necessary to show compliance with the most stringent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations. The emissions from the entry feed hopper loading, stockpile loading and storage piles will be subject to an opacity limitation of 20%.	SMAQMD/SCAQMD/EPA
PM2.5	Use of water sprays on crushers, screens, conveyors and transfer points as necessary to show compliance with the most stringent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations. The emissions from the entry feed hopper loading, stockpile loading and storage piles will be subject to an opacity limitation of 20%.	SMAQMD/SCAQMD/EPA
СО	No Standard	

APPROVED BY:	Brian F Krebs	DATE:	06-23-2021	
--------------	---------------	-------	------------	--

Attachment A BACT No. 171

CATEGORY	Y:	PORTABLE CO	NCRETE/ASPHALT RECY	
BACT Size: Minor Source BACT			Crusher, Screen, Transfe	r & Storag
BACT Dete	ermination Numbe	er: 171	BACT Determination Date:	3/8/2018
		Equipment	Information	
Permit Nun	mber: 25131	,		
Equipment	t Description:	Crusher, Screen, Trans	fer & Storage	
Unit Size/F	Rating/Capacity:			
Equipment	t Location:	L AND D LANDFILL LIN	MITED PARTNERSHIP	
		8635 FRUITRIDGE ST		
		SACRAMENTO, CA		
		BACT Determina	tion Information	·
ROCs	Standard:			
	Technology			
	Description:			
	Basis:			
NOx	Standard:			
	Technology			[
	Description:			
	Basis:			
SOx	Standard:			
	Technology			
	Description:	<u></u>		
	Basis:			
PM10	Standard:	Lies of water enrays on crusher	s, screens, transfer points and storage piles as necessary	to show
	Technology Description:	compliance with the most string	ent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations.	IO SHOW
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
PM2.5	Standard:	1		
	Technology Description:		s, screens, transfer points and storage piles as necessary ent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations.	to show
	Basis:	Achieved in Practice		
СО	Standard:		·	
	Technology			
	Description:	<u> </u>		
	Basis:			
LEAD	Standard:	<u> </u>		
	Technology			
	Description: Basis:		-	
Comments	: This is a small emi	tter (< 10 lb/day) and minor source	te BACT. BACT for portable concrete/asphalt recycling op	erations.
I				
District C	Contact: Felix T	Frujillo Phone No.: (916	3) 874 - 7357 email: ftrujillo@airquality.org	j.

Printed: 3/8/2018



BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

DETERMINATION NO.:

171

DATE:

January 11, 2018

ENGINEER:

Felix Trujillo, Jr.

Category/General Equip Description:

Portable Concrete/Asphalt Recycling

Equipment Specific Description:

Crusher, Screen, Transfer and Storage

Equipment Size/Rating:

Small Emitter BACT (< 10 lb/day)/Minor Source

Previous BACT Det. No.:

101

SMAQMD's BACT Clearinghouse does not have a current BACT guideline for portable concrete/asphalt recycling operations. The last BACT determination (BACT # 101) for this type of operation was determined on 2/27/15 and expired on 2/27/16, based on the District's prior BACT determination practice. BACT determinations that are determined under the new practice are active for a period of two years. Under the new practice, new BACT determinations must go through a 30 day public notice. Since more than two years has passed since the last determination, a new BACT determination had to be determined. Therefore, a new BACT determination was performed under the project for A/C's 25131, 25132 and 25133 (L&D Landfill Limited Partnership).

BACT ANALYSIS

A: ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE (Rule 202, §205.1a)

The following control technologies are currently employed as BACT for portable crushers in concrete/asphalt recycling operations:

District/Agency	Best Ava	ailable Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements
	BACT Source: E	EPA RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse
	Portable	e Concrete/Asphalt Recycling Operation
	voc	No standard
	NOx	No standard
	SOx	No standard
	PM10	No standard
	PM2.5	No standard
	СО	No standard
US EPA	process separate date of the installation installed conveyor April 22, transfer process. Pursuant Nonmeta Performa (https://wthis regul limits. The were bas testing in actual tes were often	part is applicable to portable nonmetallic mineral processing plants that more than 150 tons of material per hour. This regulation includes two opacity limitations based on the construction, modification or reconstruction ne equipment. Pursuant to 40 CFR Subpart A Section 60.2 (Definitions), on is included under the definition of construction. For equipment that was after April 22, 2008, are subject to an opacity limit of 7% for screening and a transfer points and 12% for crushers. Equipment that was installed before 2008 are subject to an opacity limit of 10% for screening and conveyor points and 15% for crushers. to EPA documents New Source Performance Standards Review for Ilic Mineral Processing Plants; and Amendment to the Standards of

District/Agency	Best Ava	ailable Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements	
	BACT Source: ARB BACT Clearinghouse		
	Portable	e Concrete/Asphalt Recycling Operation	
	voc	No standard	
	NOx	No standard	
ARB	SOx	No standard	
ARB	PM10	No standard	
	PM2.5	No standard	
	СО	No standard	
	A. no air of aggred darker B. there equipmed all traccollect minimed D. particulation dust of maintale.	Article 5 Sections 2450-2465) sets the following requirements for portable registered in the PERP program. contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods gating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as or than Ringelmann 1 or equivalent 20 percent opacity; shall be no visible emissions beyond the property line on which the ment is being operated; insfer points shall be ducted through a fabric or cartridge type filter dust tor, or shall be equipped with a wet suppression system maintaining a um moisture content unless there are no visible emissions; ulate matter emissions from each crusher shall be ducted through a fabric collector, or shall be equipped with a wet suppression system which ains a minimum moisture content to ensure there are no visible emissions; veyors shall be covered, unless the material being transferred results in no emissions;	
ARB	 F. all stockpiled material shall be maintained at a minimum moisture content unless the stockpiled material results in no visible emissions; G. as a part of application for registration, the applicant shall provide manufacturer's specifications or engineering data to demonstrate a minimum particulate matter control of 99 percent for the fabric dust collection equipment; H. except for vent filters, each fabric dust collector shall be equipped with an operational pressure differential gauge to measure the pressure drop across the filters; I. open areas and all roads subject to vehicular traffic shall be paved, watered, or chemical palliatives applied to prevent fugitive emissions in excess of 20 percent opacity or Ringelmann 1; and J. if applicable, the operation shall comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart OOO. Although the use of a baghouse has been identified as a control technology, it is not technologically feasible to install a baghouse on this type of portable equipment, which has been manufactured as a portable compact unit (as explained in the technologically feasible section of this document). This equipment will be from a 		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements
	third party contractor and moved around the facility. So it would be difficult to power the baghouse through line power. A generator would be required to run the baghouse.
	The Regulation for the Statewide Reistration Program became effective on September 17, 1997. The initial language for conveyor transfer points and crushers (https://www.arb.ca.gov/regact/perp/execsum3.pdf) are listed down below:
	 All transfer points must be ducted through a fabric or cartridge type filter dust collector, or equipped with a wet suppression system maintaining a minimum moisture content of 4 percent by weight.
·	 Particulate matter emissions from each crusher must be ducted through a fabric dust collector, or ducted through a wet suppression system which maintains a minimum moisture content of 4 percent by weight.
	The regulation was amended and became effective on October 1998 (https://www.arb.ca.gov/regact/perprev/isor.pdf). The amendment to the regulation was to include equipment that was subject to 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart OOO – Standards of Performance for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants. The language for transfer points and crushers was changed to the following:
	 All transfer points must be ducted through a fabric or cartridge type filter dust collector, or equipped with a wet suppression system maintaining a minimum moisture content to ensure there are no visible emissions. Particulate matter emissions from each crusher must be ducted through a fabric dust collector, or ducted through a wet suppression system which maintains a minimum moisture content to ensure there are no visible emissions.
	The initial statement of reasons for the 1998 ammendment did not include a reason for the change in language.
	A review of current PERP registrations (#'s 141043 & 16143) for crushing operations show the requirements for transfer points and crushers as follows:
	 All transfer points shall be equipped with water sprays to control fugitive particulate matter emissions, unless there are no visible emissions from the transfer point. Each crusher shall be equipped with water sprays.
	The District contacted the PERP program on 1/1/08 and asked why there was a difference between the requirements from the regulation and what is issued. ARB stated they assume a control efficiency of 90% (as per AP42 Chapter 8 Table 8.19.2-1 (9/85)) from the use of water sprays, which should result in minimal visible emissions. So the requirement for no visible emissions from these types of equipment is not included in the registrations that are issued for equipment that is served by water sprays. Therefore, the default opacity limit that the equipment would be subject to is either the Subpart OOO opacity limits (only if the equipment is subject to this regulation) or the 20% opacity limit that are included on the registrations as conditions. Since the Air Resources Board (ARB) is not enforcing the no visible
	conditions. Since the Air Resources Board (ARB) is not enforcing the no visible emissions limitation, this BACT will not enforce such limitation either.

District/Agency	Best Ava	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	BACT Source:	SMAQMD BACT Clearinghouse; BACT #101		
	Portable	Portable Concrete/Asphalt Recycling Operation		
	voc	No standard		
	NOx	No standard		
	SOx	No standard		
	PM10	Water sprays on crushers/screen with no visible emissions, covered conveyors or water sprays with no visible emissions, water sprays on transfer points and water sprays on storage piles.		
SMAQMD	PM2.5	Water sprays on crushers/screen with no visible emissions, covered conveyors or water sprays with no visible emissions, water sprays on transfer points and water sprays on storage piles.		
OW KAND	СО	No standard		
-	None BACT	EQUIREMENTS: SCAQMD BACT Guidelines for Non-Major Polluting Facilities, page 13.		
	· · · · · ·	Concrete/Asphalt Recycling Operation		
	VOC	No standard		
	NOx	No standard		
	SOx	No standard		
South Coast AQMD	PM10	No standard		
	PM2.5	No standard		
	СО	No standard		
		EQUIREMENTS: 7 – PM10 Emission Reductions from Aggregate and Related Operations		
	(1) Gener	al Performance Standards		

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements
	 (A) The operator of a facility/operation shall not cause or allow: (i) a discharge into the atmosphere of, fugitive dust emissions exceeding 20 percent opacity from any activity, equipment, storage pile, or disturbed surface area, based on an average of 12 consecutive readings, using the SCAQMD Opacity Test Method No. 9B; or (ii) discharges into the atmosphere of, fugitive dust emissions exceeding 50 percent opacity from any activity, equipment,
	storage pile, or disturbed surface area, based on five individual, consecutive readings, using the SCAQMD Opacity Test Method No. 9B, effective December 3, 2005; or
	(iii) any visible fugitive dust plume from exceeding 100 feet in any direction from any activity, equipment, storage pile, or disturbed surface area.
	(B) The operator of a facility/operation shall promptly remove any pile of material spillage on any internal paved roads. Alternatively, the operator shall maintain in a stabilized condition the pile of material spillage with dust suppressants and remove it by the end of each day.
	 (C) The operator of a facility/operation shall maintain in a stabilized condition all other piles of material spillage and carry-back with dust suppressants until removal. (D) The operator of a facility/operation shall use sufficient dust
	suppressants or other dust control methods as necessary to meet the performance standards in subparagraph (d)(1)(A). (2) Loading, Unloading, and Transferring
	The operator of an existing permanent or temporary facility/operation shall use dust suppressants or other dust control methods at each emission source during loading, unloading, or transferring activities of materials as necessary to meet the performance standards in subparagraph (d)(1)(A).
	(3) Conveyor The operator of a facility/operation using a conveyor shall apply dust suppressants or other dust control methods at the conveyor including all transfer points where materials are released as necessary to meet the performance standards in subparagraph (d)(1)(A).
	(4) Crushing Equipment The operator of a facility/operation conducting crushing activities of materials shall use baghouses to control PM10 emissions. Alternatively, the operator may apply dust suppressants or other dust control methods at the crusher including all discharge points as necessary to meet the
	performance standards in subparagraph (d)(1)(A). (5) Screening Equipment The operator of a facility/operation conducting outdoor screening activities of materials shall use enclosed screening equipment that is
	equipped with a baghouse. Alternatively, the operator may apply dust suppressants or other dust control methods at the screening equipment including all discharge points during such activities as necessary to meet the performance standards in subparagraph (d)(1)(A).
	(6) Storage Piles (A)The operator of a facility/operation shall maintain in a stabilized condition the entire surface area of the open storage piles of materials, except for areas of the piles that are actively disturbed

District/Agency	Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements		
	during the loading and/or unloading activities. Alternatively, the operator may: (i) store materials in a silo or a bunker; (ii) maintain at least two feet of freeboard from the highest portion of the piles; and (iii) for the bunker, stabilize the sides of the pile that are not shielded by non-porous walls. (B) At the end of each work day in which loading or unloading activities of materials were performed, the operator of a facility/operation shall re-apply dust suppressants to re-stabilize disturbed areas of the piles. This rule applies to stationary and portable equipment. Although, a baghouse is listed as a control option, it is not feasible for this type of portable equipment as explained in the technologically feasible section of this document. This strictest performance standard is for the equipment is to meet a 20% opacity standard.		
	BACT Source: NSR Requirements for BACT, page 27.		
	Portable	e Concrete/Asphalt Recycling Operation	
	voc	No standard	
	NOx	No standard	
San Diego	SOx	No standard	
County APCD	PM10	No standard	
	PM2.5	No standard	
	СО	No standard	
		APCD has a BACT trigger level of 10 lb/day.	
,	BACT Source: I	BAAQMD BACT	
	Portable Concrete/Asphalt Recycling Operation		
Bay Area AQMD	voc	No standard	
	NOx	No standard	
-	SOx	No standard	
	PM10	No standard	

District/Agency Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Requirements			
	PM2.5	No standard	
	СО	No standard	
	The BAAQMD has a BACT trigger level of 10 lb/day. RULE REQUIREMENTS: None.		
	Portable	SJVUAPCD BACT Guidelines Concrete/Asphalt Recycling Operation	
	voc	No standard	
	NOx	No standard	
San Joaquin	SOx	No standard	
Valley APCD	PM10	No standard	
•	PM2.5	No standard	
•	со	No standard	
		APCD BACT trigger level is 2 lb/day.	

The following control technologies have been identified and are ranked based on stringency:

SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES			
Pollutant	Standard	Source	
VOC	No Standard		
NOx	No Standard		
SOx	No Standard		
PM10	Use of water sprays on crushers, screens, conveyors, transfer points and storage piles as necessary to show compliance with the most stringent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations.	SMAQMD, EPA	
	Compliance with SCAQMD Rule 1157 – PM10 Emission reductions from Aggregate and Related Operations (8/8/05)	SCAQMD	
PM2.5	 Use of water sprays on crushers, screens, conveyors, transfer points and storage piles as necessary to show compliance with the most stringent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations. 	SMAQMD, EPA	

SUMMARY OF ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES			
Pollutant	Standard	Source	
	Compliance with SCAQMD Rule 1157 – PM10 Emission reductions from Aggregate and Related Operations (8/8/05)	SCAQMD	
СО	No Standard		

The following control technologies have been identified as the most stringent, achieved in practice control technologies:

BEST CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED		
Pollutant	Standard	Source
voc	No Standard	
NOx	No Standard	
SOx	No Standard	
PM10	Use of water sprays on crushers, screens, conveyors, transfer points and storage piles as necessary to show compliance with the most stringent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations.	SMAQMD, EPA
PM2.5	Use of water sprays on crushers, screens, conveyors, transfer points and storage piles as necessary to show compliance with the most stringent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations.	SMAQMD, EPA
СО	No Standard	

B. TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND COST EFFECTIVE (Rule 202, §205.1.b.):

Technologically Feasible Alternatives:

Any alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, determined to be technologically feasible by the Air Pollution Control Officer. The table below shows the technologically feasible alternatives identified as capable of reducing emissions beyond the levels determined to be "Achieved in Practice" as per Rule 202, §205.1.a.

Pollutant	Technologically Feasible Alternatives
voc	None identified
NOx	None identified
SOx	None identified
PM10	Baghouse

Pollutant	Technologically Feasible Alternatives
PM2.5	Baghouse
co	None identified

This operation is a portable concrete/asphalt crusher that will be moved throughout the processing area. The use of a baghouse requires electrical power. Most of these units are manufactured as part of one compact self-propelling unit, which does not include a baghouse. The engines that are the power source for these compact units are designed to power only the equipment of the unit and would not be able to handle any further load. This BACT will also apply to facilities that don't own their own equipment. These facilities would be issued flex permits, that will allow them to use equipment from various third party contractors. The requirement of a baghouse would put the burden on the operator or facility to obtain an additional permit for the baghouse. The portable crusher is on tracks and can be easily moved from one location to another. The use of a baghouse would reduce the mobility of the equipment. There would also be a variation in the hp rating of the equipment, which may require a specific baghouse to be used with specific equipment. Therefore, it is not technologically feasible to use a baghouse with this type of portable equipment.

Using the PM10 BACT standard for PM2.5:

Since both, PM10 and PM2.5 trigger BACT at >0 lb/day and PM2.5 is a subset of PM10, BACT for PM2.5 will be triggered whenever BACT is triggered for PM10. Therefore, BACT for PM2.5 will be set to be the same as for PM10.

C. SELECTION OF BACT:

Small emitter BACT (< 10 lb/day) & Minor Source BACT for a portable concrete/asphalt recycling operation is the following:

BACT FOR PORTABLE CONCRETE/ASPHALT RECYCLING OPERATION			
Pollutant	Standard	Source	
voc	No Standard		
NOx	No Standard		
SOx	No Standard	-	
PM10	Use of water sprays on crushers, screens, conveyors, transfer points and storage piles as necessary to show compliance with the most stringent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations.	SMAQMD, EPA	
PM2.5	Use of water sprays on crushers, screens, conveyors, transfer points and storage piles as necessary to show compliance with the most stringent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations.		
СО	No Standard		

BACT Determination Portable Concrete/Asphalt Recycling Operation January 11, 2018 Page 11 of 11

REVIEWED BY:		_ DATE:	
APPROVED BY:	Joye Robym	_ DATE:	3/8/18

Appendix A Comments Recieved



California Construction and Industrial Materials Association

February 12, 2018

Sacramento Metro Air Quality Management District ATTN: Jorge DeGuzman 7:77 12th Street, 3rd Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Via Email: bactdeterminations@airquality.org

RE: Opposition BACT # 171 - Portable Concrete/Asphalt Recycling

Dear Mr. DeGuzman:

CalCIMA regrettably must object to the proposed BACT determination 171 for Portable Concrete/Asphalt Recycling.

CalCIMA is a statewide trade association representing construction and industrial material producers in California. Our members supply the materials that build our state's infrastructure, including public roads, rail, and water projects; help build our homes, schools and hospitals; assist in growing crops and feeding livestock; and play a key role in manufacturing wallboard, roofing shingles, paint, low energy light bulbs, and battery technology for electric cars and windmills. Our members are both producers of construction aggregates from mined as well as recycled material sources.

District Proposed BACT:

"Water sprays on crushers/screen with no visible emissions, covered conveyors of water sprays with no visible emissions, water sprays on transfer points and water sprays on storage piles."

Basis:

Our first concern is that the District in proposing to adopt this Portable Concrete/Asphalt Recycling BACT is utilizing "Achieved in Practice" vs "Case-by-Case" as the basis for this BACT. Case-by-Case is the appropriate standard due to the nature of BACT review under new source review, which inherently recognizes the opportunity to demonstrate alternative achievable technology, and to demonstrate an action is un-achievable which, in our experience, zero visible emissions are at times.

District Rule 202 BACT Definition.

205 BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY (BACT): For any emissions unit the most stringent of:

205.1 The most effective emission control device, emission limit, or technique, singly or in combination, which has been required or used for the type of equipment comprising such an emissions unit unless the applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Air



California Construction and Industrial Materials Association

Pollution Control Officer that such limitations required on other sources have not been demonstrated to be achievable.

205.2 Any alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, determined to be technologically feasible and cost-effective by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

205.3 For replacement equipment only, the emission limitation that is based on the maximum degree of reduction achievable, taking into account environmental, energy, and economic impacts by each class or category of source.

In this particular example we disagree that zero visibility is an achievable standard. Further, as discussed below, it appears to be a mistranslation of the State's PERP controls.

District Proposed BACT Determination Inconsistent With Cited Source:

The District's BACT of "no visible emissions" is inconsistent with the source cited for its creation which is the Air Resources Board's rule requirements for Portable Asphalt Concrete Recycling.

The Air Resources Board document allows up to 20% opacity and the relevant opacity limits in NSPS OOO, The zero visible emissions standard is a trigger for additional controls and not the condition achieved by those controls except when applied to off-site locations. Key highlighted citations from the PERP rule included in the BACT determination are below:

- A. no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as or darker than Ringelmann 1 or equivalent 20 percent opacity;
- B. there shall be no visible emissions beyond the property line on which the equipment is being operated;
- C. all transfer points shall be ducted through a fabric or cartridge type filter dust collector, or shall be equipped with a wet suppression system maintaining a minimum moisture content unless there are no visible emissions;
- D, particulate matter emissions from each crusher shall be ducted through a fabric dust collector, or shall be equipped with a wet suppression system which maintains a minimum moisture content to ensure there are no visible emissions;
- E. all conveyors shall be covered, unless the material being transferred results in no visible emissions;
- F. all stockpiled material shall be maintained at a minimum moisture content unless the stockpiled material results in no visible emissions;
- G, as a part of application for registration, the applicant shall provide manufacturer's specifications or engineering data to demonstrate a minimum particulate matter control of 99 percent for the fabric dust collection equipment;
- H. except for vent filters, each fabric dust collector shall be equipped with an operational pressure differential gauge to measure the pressure drop across the filters:

CALCIMA

California Construction and Industrial Materials Association

I. open areas and all roads subject to vehicular traffic shall be paved, watered, or chemical palliatives applied to prevent fugitive emissions in excess of 20 percent opacity or Ringelmann 1; and

J. if applicable, the operation shall comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart 000.

The appropriate control is water to maintain process material moisture and particularly for a portable application which has unique limitations that make certain types of controls infeasible. No visible emissions is only a standard of compliance beyond the property line. At locations within the property visible emissions simply trigger the need to apply additional controls (i.e., water). Further, we note opacity lacks relevance in a discussion of emissions because there is no way to translate opacity to mass of particulates or other pollutants. However, opacity is an appropriate surrogate that addresses typical concerns expressed by the public.

Conclusion:

As an industry, CalCIMA and our members have a great deal of experience crushing, conveying and piling materials, including recycled materials. At a minimum, the District should change the basis of this determination to case-by-case when, as perhaps with the permit in question, it is appropriate. Such a change would also make it clear our members could raise additional technological solutions and controls.

Further, no visible emissions standard should be excluded from the policy or clearly described to apply only at off-site locations. In our experience, it is impossible to achieve the no visible emissions standard at on-site locations near the process equipment at all times. This is why the opacity standards (e.g., EPA Method 9) require opacity standard exceedance for more than three minutes in any hour before a discharge is considered to be in violation.

Finally, we are concerned that water may be wasted on storage piles under the current language. Scaling back the language to require watering of drop points and storage pile working faces where needed could protect both water resources and air resources.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed BACT. Please feel free to contact me with any questions at (916) 554-1000 ext.102.

Respectfully

Adam Harper

Director of Policy Analysis

CalCIMA

Appendix B District Response



March 8, 2018

Adam Harper CALGIMA 1029 J Street, Suite 420 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Opposition BACT #171 - Portable Concrete/Asphalt Recycling

Dear Mr. Harper:

The District received your comments to the proposed BACT determination #171 that applies to portable concrete/asphalt recycling operations on February 12, 2018. We appreciate your response to this new BACT determination. You have expressed concern over the District's proposal to limit the opacity for these types of operations to "no visible emissions". As stated in the BACT determination, this requirement came from the PERP regulation. The District researched this matter further and concluded that the "no visible emissions" requirement was not achieved in practice. Therefore, the BACT requirement for these types of operations has been revised to the following:

"Use of water sprays on crushers, screens, conveyors, transfer points and storage piles as necessary to show compliance with the most stringent 40 CFR Subpart OOO opacity limitations."

You have also expressed concern over the District's use of "Achieved in Practice" rather than "Case-by-Case" as the basis for this BACT. In general, the District determines BACT for a class and category of source as the most stringent of:

The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

proceeding for already types of agricument.

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in

**The most effective BACT that has already been required and found to be achieved in the later and the lat

practice for similar types of equipment.

 Any limitation or control technique contained in a State Implementation Plan as approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency for such a class or category source.

Any limitation or control technique contained in an applicable Federal New Source

Performance standard.

 Any Alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, identified by the District as technologically feasible for that type of process or equipment and cost effective.

The District has determined that the use of water sprays and compliance with the opacity limitations of 40 CFR Subpart OOO as being achieved in practice for this class and category of source. A "Case-by-Case" determination BACT would only apply to facilities where an applicant can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Air Pollution Control Officer that such limitations are not achievable at their facility.

CALCIMA Page 2

If you have any further questions regarding this matter, please call me at (916)874-7357.

Felix Trujillo, Jr. Associate Air Quality Engineer